UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,423	04/03/2001	Patricia C. Weber	ID01152	2057
	590 01/10/2007 OUGH CORPORATION	•	EXAMINER STEADMAN, DAVID J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1656	
	RTMENT (K-6-1, 1990)			
	NG HILL ROAD , NJ 07033-0530			
	,			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary Examinar		Applicant(s)	Application No.		
David J. Steadman 1656 — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.30(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely find INO period for repty is appended above, the maximum statutory period will apply and tively post X(b) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic Failure to apply within the set or extended period for repty will, by statule, cause the application to become ASANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any repty received by the Difficule later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seriored patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-3.7-9.11.21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	WEBER ET AL.		09/825,423		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Status of the main and state of the property of the communication. If NO period of 13 years 1,138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled before SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period of the reply is periodical above, the machinum statutory period will apply and leading the intermitian of the reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period will apply and will explicit the deplication of the set of this communication. Status Status Status Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL 2b ∑ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation is an explanation is a proper and the properties of the set of the properties of the propertie		Art Unit	Examiner	Office Action Summary	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If INO period for reply is specified above, the maintimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If INO period for reply with the explaints of the propriet of the specification to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than these monition statute the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exempt patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) M Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) M This action is, non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.7-9.11.21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2.3 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 3-3 is/are rejected. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) All boly Some * c) objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All boly Some		1656	David J. Steadman		
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINED DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Exensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - I'NO period for reply is epided above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - I'NO period for reply is epided above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply revealed by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 3° CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2c) □ This action is a polication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-3,7-9,11,21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) □ Claim(s) 9,11,21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6c) □ Claim(s) 9,13 is/are rejected. 7c) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 2claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.15(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) □ The cath or declarat	;	orrespondence address	ars on the cover sheet with the c		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.7-9.11.21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9.11.21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2 and 8 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communicated (35 U.S.C. § 133).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a ause the application to become ABANDONE	ICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Datensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failui Any r
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.7-9.11.21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9.11.21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					Status
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.7-9.11.21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9.11.21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			vember 2006.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N	1)⊠
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.7-9.11,21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9.11,21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.7-9.11,21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9.11,21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	its is	secution as to the merits	e except for formal matters, pro	Since this application is in condition for allowar	3)□
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7-9,11,21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9,11,21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					_
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7-9,11,21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9,11,21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				ition of Claims	Dispositi
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 9.11.21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•		the application		· <u>-</u>
5) Claim(s) 9.11.21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•	• •	•	-
6)		•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			·		·
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			election requirement.	j Ciaim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	<u>ا</u> ن(ه
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				tion Papers	Applicati
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				The specification is objected to by the Examine	9)[
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Examiner.	oted or b) objected to by the E	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	10) 🗌 🤈
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	21(d).	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	n is required if the drawing(s) is obj	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 				_	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 				under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Priority u
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).)-(d) or (f).	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•	have been received.	1. Certified copies of the priority document	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ion No	have been received in Application	2. Certified copies of the priority document	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	e .		• •		
			•	•	
		∌d.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	<u>,*</u> S
			•	•	•
·	•	•	•		
Attachment/e)	•	•	•	int/e)	Attachmon'
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		· (DTO 440)	4) 🔲 Lata a de con O	• •	_
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		•			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			5) Notice of Informal Pa		_
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: <u>Appendix A</u> .			6) M Other: Appendix A.	per No(s)/Mail Date	Paper

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

- 1. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 11, and 21-22 are pending in the application.
- 2. Applicant's amendment to the claims after final rejection, filed on 28 November 2006, is acknowledged and has been entered. This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed on 28 November 2006 have been fully considered and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome all of the rejections and/or objections previously applied. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in the instant action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. The indicated allowability of claims 1-3 is withdrawn in view of the new rejections that follow. The finality of the Office action mailed on 3 October 2006 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1656

6. Claim(s) 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borowski et al. (*Eur. J. Biochem.* 266:715-723, 1999) in view of Cho et al. (*J. Biol. Chem.* 273:15045-15052; cited as reference AG in the IDS filed on 14 December 2005) and Kim et al. (US Patent 6,183,121).

The claims are drawn to (in relevant part) a polypeptide "defined by" the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:17. The term "defined by" in the claims is interpreted as "consisting of." If applicant intends for the term "defined by" to have a meaning other than "consisting of," applicant is requested to so state and clarify the record.

The reference of Borowski et al. teaches the NTPase and helicase activities of HCV are located at the C-terminal 450 amino acids of NS3 beginning at amino acid 181 (p. 715, left column, bottom). The reference teaches the isolation of a minimal functional domain of Hepatitis C virus (HCV) NTPase/helicase with ATP-binding activity, wherein amino acids 1203-1364 of HCV polyprotein is determined to be such a minimal functional domain (p. 715, abstract). In view of the discussion by Borowski et al. at p. 718, left column, amino acids 1203-1364 of HCV polyprotein correspond to amino acids 176-338 of HCV NS3. The reference teaches that isolation of the minimal functional ATP binding domain of NS3 "may provide a rational basis for the development of effective inhibitors of the NTPase/helicase" (p. 716, left column, top). Borowski et al. does not teach an HCV NS3 helicase fragment of amino acids 181-324.

Cho et al. teaches a crystal structure of RNA helicase of HCV genotype 1b, showing the NTPase domain (p. 15047, left column, Figure 1). According to Cho et al.,

Art Unit: 1656

sequence alignment of RNA helicases reveals three highly conserved motifs in the NTPase domain of Figure 1, including amino acids 322-324 of HCV NS3 (p. 15045, right column, bottom), that Thr322 of the conserved motif of amino acids 322-324 of HCV NS3 forms a hydrogen bond with catalytic His293 (p. 15048, Figure 3), and that two of these domains, including amino acids 322-324 of HCV NS3 interact (p. 15048, Figure 3).

The reference of Kim et al. teaches a consensus sequence of HCV NS3 as SEQ ID NO:2, wherein residues 181-324 of SEQ ID NO:2 of Kim et al. are 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:17 herein (see Appendix A).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Borowski et al., Cho et al., and Kim et al. to produce the polypeptide of amino acids 181-324 of HCV NS3 as taught by Kim et al. One would have been motivated to produce the minimal fragment of the NTPase domain of HCV NS3 in order to "provide a rational basis for the development of effective inhibitors of the NTPase/helicase" as taught by Borowski et al. One would have been motivated to select amino acid 181 of HCV NS3 as the N-terminal amino acid because this is the first amino acid of the helicase domain of HCV NS3 as taught by Borowski et al. One would have been motivated to select amino acid 324 as the C-terminal amino acid of the fragment because according to Cho et al., residues 322-324 represent a conserved motif and are present in all HCV NS3 helicases and are shown to interact with active site residues of the HCV NS3 helicase ATPase domain. One would have a reasonable expectation of success to produce the polypeptide of amino acids 181-324 of HCV NS3

Art Unit: 1656

because of the cloning techniques as taught by Kim et al. Therefore, claims 1-2, drawn to SEQ ID NO:17, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

7. Claim(s) 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borowski et al. (*Eur. J. Biochem.* 266:715-723, 1999) in view of Cho et al. (*J. Biol. Chem.* 273:15045-15052; cited as reference AG in the IDS filed on 14 December 2005) and Kim et al. (US Patent 6,183,121) as applied to claims 1-2 above and further in view of Ford et al. (*Prot Exp Purif* 2:95-107, 1991) and Xiao et al. (*Cell* 99:545-555, 1999).

Claim 3 is drawn to a polypeptide consisting of SEQ ID NO:3. It is noted that SEQ ID NO:3 is SEQ ID NO:17 with an N-terminal addition of the sequence GSHM.

The references of Borowski et al., Cho et al., and Kim et al. disclose the teachings as describe above. While the combination teaches SEQ ID NO:17, the combination does not teach SEQ ID NO:3.

Ford et al. teaches fusion tails, e.g., an N-terminal histidine tail, can be used to facilitate recovery of a recombinantly produced heterologous protein (p. 95, abstract and p. 100). Ford et al. teaches a protease cleavage site can be incorporated between the tail and the protein of interest and the tail can be removed by cleavage of the fusion protein using the corresponding protease (p. 102).

Xiao et al. teaches the use of vector pET15b for recombinant expression of a heterologous protein in a bacterial cell, wherein the protein expressed using this vector has an N-terminal histidine tag that is cleaved using thrombin, leaving a GSHM sequence at the N-terminus (p. 553, left column).

Art Unit: 1656

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Borowski et al., Cho et al., Kim et al., Ford et al., and Xiao et al. to produce the polypeptide of amino acids 181-324 of HCV NS3 as taught by Kim et al. with an GSHM sequence at the N-terminus. One would have been motivated to produce the polypeptide of amino acids 181-324 of HCV NS3 using the vector of pET15b as taught by Xiao et al. in order to facilitate purification of the polypeptide by virtue of its having an N-terminal histidine tag. One would have been motivated to cleave the fusion protein with thrombin, thus leaving a GSHM sequence at the N-terminus in order to remove the histidine tag as taught by Xiao et al. One would have a reasonable expectation of success to produce the polypeptide of amino acids 181-324 of HCV NS3 as taught by Kim et al. with a GSHM sequence at the N-terminus because of the teachings of Kim et al. and Xiao et al. Therefore, claim 3, drawn to SEQ ID NO:3, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

8. Status of the claims:

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 11, and 21-22 are pending.

Claims 9, 11, and 21-22 appear to be in a condition for allowance.

Claims 1-3 are rejected.

Claims 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1656

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Steadman, Ph.D.

•

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1656

Art Unit: 1656

APPENDIX A

```
US-09-128-314-2
; Sequence 2, Application US/09128314
; Patent No. 6183121
; GENERAL INFORMATION:
 APPLICANT: Kim, Jospeh L
; APPLICANT: Morgenstern, Kurt A
; APPLICANT: Caron, Paul R
; APPLICANT: Lin, Chao
; APPLICANT: Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc.
; TITLE OF INVENTION: CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THE HCV NS3 HELICASE DOMAIN
; FILE REFERENCE: Sequence listing for VPI/97-101
; Patent No. 6183121
; CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/09/128,314
; CURRENT FILING DATE: 1998-08-03
; EARLIER APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/055,772
; EARLIER FILING DATE: 1997-08-13
 NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 4
; SOFTWARE: PatentIn Ver. 2.0
; SEQ ID NO 2
; LENGTH: 631
    TYPE: PRT
   ORGANISM: Hepatitis C virus
US-09-128-314-2
 Query Match
                          100.0%; Score 743; DB 2; Length 631;
 Query Match 100.0%; Score 743; DB 2; Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 8.9e-76;
  Matches 144; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Indels
                                                                  0; Gaps
0;
Qу
            1 SPVFTDNSSPPAVPQSFQVAHLHAPTGSGKSTKVPAAYAAQGYKVLVLNPSVAATLGFGA 60
Db
          181 SPVFTDNSSPPAVPQSFQVAHLHAPTGSGKSTKVPAAYAAQGYKVLVLNPSVAATLGFGA 240
           61 YMSKAHGVDPNIRTGVRTITTGSPITYSTYGKFLADGGCSGGAYDIIICDECHSTDATSI 120
Qу
          241 YMSKAHGVDPNIRTGVRTITTGSPITYSTYGKFLADGGCSGGAYDIIICDECHSTDATSI 300
Db
Qу
          121 LGIGTVLDQAETAGARLVVLATAT 144
Db
          301 LGIGTVLDQAETAGARLVVLATAT 324
```